BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No.	W281
Susan Lesnik, Ph.D.	,	
Respondent.		

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Psychology, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on February 9, 2005

It is so ORDERED January 10, 2005

FOR THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS Jacqueline Horn, Ph.D., President

	4			
1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General			
2	of the State of California ISA R. RODRIGUEZ, State Bar No. 104838			
3	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice			
4	2550 Mariposa Mall, Room 5090 Fresno, CA 93721			
5	Telephone: (559) 477-1679 Facsimile: (559) 488-7387			
6	E-mail: isa.rodriguez@doj.ca.gov			
7	Attorneys for Complainant			
8	BEFORE THE			
9	BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
ŀ	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. W281		
11	SUSAN LESNIK, Ph.D. 47 Lakeside Drive			
12	Bluffton, SC 29910	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF LICENSE AND ORDER		
13	Psychologist License No. PSY11783			
14	Respondent.			
15				
16	In the interest of a name to a day of a			
17		resolution of this matter, consistent with the		
18	public interest and the responsibility of the Board of			
19	Affairs the parties hereby agree to the following Stip			
20	will be submitted to the Board for approval and adop	tion as the final disposition of the		
21	Accusation.			
22	PARTIE	<u>2</u>		
23	1. Thomas S. O'Connor (Compla	inant) is the Executive Officer of the Board		
24	of Psychology. He brought this action solely in his o	official capacity and is represented in this		
25	matter by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State	e of California, by Isa R. Rodriguez, Deputy		
26	Attorney General.			
27	2. Susan M. Lesnik, Ph.D., is rep	resenting herself in this proceeding and has		
28	chosen not to exercise her right to be represented by	counsel.		
۱ ۵۵				

	H · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1	3. On or about August 1, 1990, the Board of Psychology issued Psychologist		
2	License Number PSY11783 to Susan Lesnik, Ph.D.		
3	<u>JURISDICTION</u>		
4	4. Accusation No. W281 was filed before the Board of Psychology		
5	(Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The		
6	Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on		
7	October 15, 2004. Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A		
8	copy of Accusation No. W281 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by		
9	reference.		
10	ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS		
11	5. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations		
12	in Accusation No. w281 Respondent also has carefully read, and understands the		
13	effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.		
14	6. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the		
15	right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by		
16	counsel, at her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her;		
17	the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of		
18	subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to		
19	reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the		
20	California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.		
21	7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up		
22	each and every right set forth above.		
23	<u>CULPABILITY</u>		
24	8. Respondent understands that the charges and allegations in Accusation No.		
25	w281 , if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon her		
26	psychologist license.		
27	9. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and		
28	uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could		

establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation and that those charges constitute cause for discipline. Respondent hereby gives up her right to contest that cause for discipline exists based on those charges.

10. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation she enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of her psychologist license without further process.

RESERVATION

11. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Board of Psychology or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

- Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Psychology may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

28 ///

///

///

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Psychologist License No. PSY11783, issued to Respondent Susan Lesnik, Ph.D., is surrendered and accepted by the Board of Psychology.

- 15. The surrender of Respondent's psychologist license and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board.
- 16. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a psychologist in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- 17. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board both her wall and pocket license certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- application for licensure or a petition for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked license in effect at the time the petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. W281 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the petition.
- 19. Should Respondent ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other heath care licensing agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation, No. w281 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.
- 20. Respondent shall pay the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$3,500.00 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.

ACCEPTANCE I have carefully read the Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my psychologist license. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Psychology. DATED: 12-3-04 **ENDORSEMENT** The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Psychology of the Department of Consumer Affairs. DATED: 12/16/04 BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California ISA R. RODRIGUEZ Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant IRR:lg 11/15/04 DOJ Docket Number/Matter ID: 03598160-SA2004101446

stipulation.wpd

Exhibit A

Accusation No. W281

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California		
2	2 ISA R. RODRIGUEZ, State Bar No. 104838 Deputy Attorney General		
3		FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
4	4 Fresno, CA 93721	BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY	
5	Telephone. (557) 477-1077	Mackman ANALYST	
6	Attorneys for Complainant	•	
7	!		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
		QVIA	
10		use No. W281	
11			
12	2 47 Lakeside Drive A	CCUSATION	
13	ii i		
14	Psychologist License No. PSY 11783		
15	Respondent.		
16	Complainant alleges:		
17	PARTIES		
18	1. Thomas S. O'Connor (Complainant)) brings this Accusation solely in his	
19	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of F	Psychology, Department of Consumer	
20	Affairs.		
21	2. On or about August 1, 1990, the Bo	ard of Psychology issued Psychologist	
22	License Number PSY 11783 to SUSAN LESNIK, Ph.D. (I	Respondent). The Psychologist	
23	License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to	the charges brought herein and will	
24	expire on February 28, 2006, unless renewed.		
25	<u>JURISDICTION</u>		
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the	he Board of Psychology (Board),	
27	Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section		
28	references are to the Business and Professions Code unless	s otherwise indicated.	

4. Section 2960 of the Code states:

The board may refuse to issue any registration or license, or may issue a registration or license with terms and conditions, or may suspend or revoke the registration or license of any registrant or licensee if the applicant, registrant, or licensee has been guilty of unprofessional conduct. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but not be limited to:

- (a) Conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a psychologist or psychological assistant.
- (b) Use of any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or dangerous drug, or any alcoholic beverage to an extent or in a manner dangerous to himself or herself, any other person, or the public, or to an extent that this use impairs his or her ability to perform the work of a psychologist with safety to the public.
- (c) Fraudulently or neglectfully misrepresenting the type or status of license or registration actually held.
- (d) Impersonating another person holding a psychology license or allowing another person to use his or her license or registration.
- (e) Using fraud or deception in applying for a license or registration or in passing the examination provided for in this chapter.
- (f) Paying, or offering to pay, accepting, or soliciting any consideration, compensation, or remuneration, whether monetary or otherwise, for the referral of clients.
 - (g) Violating Section 17500.
- (h) Willful, unauthorized communication of information received in professional confidence.
- (i) Violating any rule of professional conduct promulgated by the board and set forth in regulations duly adopted under this chapter.
 - (j) Being grossly negligent in the practice of his or her profession.
 - (k) Violating any of the provisions of this chapter or regulations duly adopted

thereunder.

- (l) The aiding or abetting of any person to engage in the unlawful practice of psychology.
- (m) The suspension, revocation or imposition of probationary conditions by another state or country of a license or certificate to practice psychology or as a psychological assistant issued by that state or country to a person also holding a license or registration issued under this chapter if the act for which the disciplinary action was taken constitutes a violation of this section.
 - (n) The commission of any dishonest, corrupt, or fraudulent act.
- (o) Any act of sexual abuse, or sexual relations with a patient or former patient within two years following termination of therapy, or sexual misconduct that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a psychologist or psychological assistant or registered psychologist.
- (p) Functioning outside of his or her particular field or fields of competence as established by his or her education, training, and experience.
- (q) Willful failure to submit, on behalf of an applicant for licensure, verification of supervised experience to the board.
 - (r) Repeated acts of negligence.
 - 5. Section 2936 of the Code states:

The board shall adopt a program of consumer and professional education in matters relevant to the ethical practice of psychology. The board shall establish as its standards of ethical conduct relating to the practice of psychology, the code of ethics adopted and published by the American Psychological Association (APA). Those standards shall be applied by the board as the accepted standard of care in all licensing examination development and in all board enforcement policies and disciplinary case evaluations. To facilitate consumers in receiving appropriate psychological services, all licensees and registrants shall be required to post, in a conspicuous location in their principal psychological business office, a notice which reads as follows: "NOTICE: The Department of Consumer Affairs receives questions and complaints

regarding the practice of psychology. If you have any questions or complaints, you may contact this department by calling (insert appropriate regional number) or (insert appropriate telephone number), or by writing to the following address: Board of Psychology, 1422 Howe Avenue, Ste. 22, Sacramento, California 95825-3236"

ETHICAL PRINCIPLES OF PSYCHOLOGISTS AND CODE OF CONDUCT (1992)1

- 6. Ethical Standard 1.17 states:
- (a) In many communities and situations, it may not be feasible or reasonable for psychologists to avoid social or other nonprofessional contacts with persons such as patients, clients, students, supervisees, or research participants. Psychologists must always be sensitive to the potential harmful effects of other contacts on their work and on those persons with whom they deal. A psychologist refrains from entering into or promising another personal, scientific, professional, financial, or other relationship with such persons if it appears likely that such a relationship reasonably might impair the psychologist's objectivity or otherwise interfere with the psychologist's effectively performing his or her functions as a psychologist, or might harm or exploit the other party.
- (b) Likewise, whenever feasible, a psychologist refrains from taking on professional or scientific obligations when pre-existing relationships would create a risk of such harm.
- (c) If a psychologist finds that, due to unforeseen factors, a potentially harmful multiple relationship has arisen, the psychologist attempts to resolve it with due regard for the best interests of the affected person and maximal compliance with the Ethics Code.
 - 7. Ethical Standard 4.03 states:
 - (a) When a psychologist agrees to provide services to several persons who have a

^{1.} Established by the American Psychological Association, updated in 2002, effective June 1, 2003 (www.apa.org/ethics/code 1992).

relationship (such as husband and wife or parents and children), the psychologist attempts to clarify at the outset (1) which of the individuals are patients or clients and (2) the relationship the psychologist will have with each person. This clarification includes the role of the psychologist and the probable uses of the services provided or the information obtained. (See also Standard 5.01, Discussing the Limits of Confidentiality.)

(b) As soon as it becomes apparent that the psychologist may be called on to perform potentially conflicting roles (such as marital counselor to husband and wife, and then witness for one party in a divorce proceeding), the psychologist attempts to clarify and adjust, or withdraw from, roles appropriately. (See also Standard 7.03, Clarification of Role, under Forensic Activities.)

COST RECOVERY

8. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence - Code § 2960(j):)

- 9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2960(j) of the Code in that she engaged multiple relations with Patient K.P. ² and was therefore grossly negligent. The circumstances are as follows:
- 10. On or about March 19, 1999, through on or about June 25, 2002, Patient K.P. saw respondent for psychotherapy. In or about April 2001, K.P. began a relationship with T.V., a former patient of respondent, who in fact, had recommended respondent to K.P. T.V. had been respondent's patient from on or about October 26, 1999, through on or about June 5, 2000. Some time in April 2002, K.P. and T.V. had a joint session with respondent to discuss the

^{2.} Initials used throughout this document are to protect privacy.

deteriorating relationship between K.P. and T.V., although T.V.'s presence was based on a request from K.P. From on or about June 13, 2002 through in or about August 2002, respondent dated T.V. Thereafter, respondent and T.V. remained friends but stopped dating. On June 25, 2002, K.P. had a therapy session with respondent wherein K.P. confronted respondent about respondent's then ongoing relationship with T.V. Respondent did not deny the relationship with T.V. and told K.P. she and T.V. "have feelings." K.P. terminated her therapeutic relationship with respondent after this last session and never returned.

11. Respondent's conduct as set forth in paragraph 10, above, has exposed her license to discipline pursuant to Code section 2960(j) in that she was grossly negligent in the care provided K.P. due to the multiple relationships she had with K.P. and T.V.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violating APA Ethical Standards - Code § 2936)

- 12. The facts alleged in paragraph 10, above, are realleged and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth here.
- 13. Respondent's conduct as set forth in paragraph 10, above, has exposed his license to discipline pursuant to Code section 2936 in that she violated sections 1.17 and 4.03 of the Ethical Standards of the American Psychological Association, as follows:
 - a.) Section 1.17(a): respondent failed to avoid multiple relationships with K.P. and T.V. likely to impair her objectivity or interfere with her duties or functions as a psychologist or which might harm or exploit K.P. and/or T.V.;
- b.) Section 1.17(b): respondent failed to refrain from taking on professional obligations when pre-existing relationships created a risk of harm;
- c.) Section 1.17(c): respondent failed to resolve the multiple relationships with due regard for the best interests of K.P. and/or T.V.;
- d.) Section 4.03(a): respondent failed to clarify at the outset what her relationship was with K.P. and/or T.V., individually or collectively; and

1	e.) Section 4.03(b): respondent failed to clarify, adjust, or withdraw from her
2	conflicting roles as soon as she became aware of the potential conflicts.
3	
4	
5	<u>PRAYER</u>
6	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein
7	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Psychology issue a decision:
8	1. Revoking or suspending Psychologist Number PSY 11783, issued to
9	SUSAN LESNIK, Ph.D.;
10	2. Ordering SUSAN LESNIK, Ph.D. to pay the Board of Psychology the
11	reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and, if placed on probation,
12	the costs of probation monitoring;
13	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
14	
15	DATED: October 15, 2004
16	
17	
18	Thomas D'Come_
19	Momas & Come
20	THOMAS S. O'CONNOR Executive Officer
	Board of Psychology
21	Department of Consumer Affairs
22	State of California
23	Complainant
24	
25	03598160-SA2004101446
26	accusation.wpd
27	IRR:lg